Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,629	SIRACKI, MICHAEL A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Thompson	3672	
All Participants:  Status of Application: Claims amended in response to non-final rejection			
(1) <u>Kenneth Thompson</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jeffrey S. Bergman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 December 2005	Time: <u>1:30</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1, 7 and 10			
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant's Attorney and the Examiner agreed to amend the preambles to provide antecedent for the cone.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	